COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE P.O. Box 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

ROBERT DEBERARDINE ABBOTT LABORATORIES 100 ABBOTT PARK ROAD DEPT. 377/AP6A **ABBOTT PARK, IL 60064-6008**

COPY MAILED

FEB 1 3 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of HASVOLD et al

Application No.: 10/785,120

Filing Date: February 25, 2004

Attorney Docket No.: 7034US02

DECISION ON PETITION

UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(B)

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed September 15, 2006, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action mailed March 2, 2006, which set a three (3) month period for reply. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, by operation of law, the above-identified application became abandoned on June 3, 2006.

Under 37 CFR 1.137(b), a petition requesting that an application be revived on the grounds of unintentional abandonment must be accompanied by: (1) the required reply unless previously filed, (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m), (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional, and (4) a terminal disclaimer if the application was filed before June 8, 1995.

The instant petition does not satisfy requirement (1) above. Specifically, the proposed response would not place the cased in condition for allowance. See the attached Advisory Action (Form PTOL-303).

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. Any reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.137(b)."

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop PETITIONS

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand:

Customer Service Window

Mail Stop Petitions Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

By fax:

(571) 273-8300

ATTN: Office of Petitions

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to Bryan Lin at (571) 272-3303.

Bryanton

Bryan Lin Legal Examiner

Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy

ATTACHMENT: Advisory Action (Form PTOL-303)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/785,120	HASVOLD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.	1624		

	Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.	1624		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 15 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		•	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th		
3. \(\overline{\	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause	
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause .	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.		
NOTE: further searching is required. (See 37 CFF	R 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of	
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio			•	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).			
		Bruck Kifle, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1624		